

# Defining agency

CHAIN Winter School, Lecture 1b - Manuel Baltieri

2

6<sup>th</sup> Feb 2023





### Outline (second half)

What do people think about agency in:

- physics
- computer science
- reinforcement learning
- control theory
- robotics



In physics, we question whether agency (even) exists.



### A reductionist approach to actions

**Reductionism**: everything can be decomposed into smaller components and their interactions

**Emergence**: "more than the sum of the parts"





### Actions?

Happenings: outcomes of *purely mechanical* causes

Actions: outcomes *done* by an *agent* 

McGregor, S. (2017). The Bayesian stance: Equations for 'as-if'sensorimotor agency. *Adaptive Behavior*, 25(2), 72-82.





### Photo by LOGAN WEAVER | @LGNWVR on Unsplash



# Where are these actions?

1st line: electricity, magnetism, strong and weak

2nd line: how these forces act on quarks and leptons

3rd-4th lines: Higgs boson and how it gives mass to fundamental particles

Actions...

...as gravity?

No, really, no...



https://www.sciencealert.com/this-is-what-the-standardmodel-of-physics-actually-looks-like



# What's doing the acting anyway?

Entities: systems whose every part makes other parts more probable

Agents: a special kind of entities

Biehl, M. A. (2017). Formal approaches to a definition of agents (Doctoral dissertation, University of Hertfordshire).



### https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=7gmEhb8qbTk&ab\_channel=M%C3%A1rioJ.R.Matos



https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=V4f\_1\_r80RY&ab\_channel=NationalGeographic



### Some exceptions to the standard view

### Agency in Physics

Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS, CPT, 13288 Marseille, France. Perimeter Institute, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 2Y5. The Rotman Institute of Philosophy, 1151 Richmond St. N London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7. (Dated: July 14, 2020)

I discuss three aspects of the notion of agency from the standpoint of physics: (i) what makes a physical system an agent; (ii) the reason for agency's time orientation; (iii) the source of the information generated in choosing an action. I observe that agency is the breaking of an approximation under which dynamics appears closed. I distinguish different notions of agency, and observe that the answer to the questions above differ in different cases. I notice a structural similarity between agency and memory, that allows us to model agency, trace its time asymmetry to thermodynamical irreversibility, and identify the source of the information generated by agency in the growth of entropy. Agency is therefore a physical mechanism that transforms low entropy into information. This may be the general mechanism at the source of the whole information on which biology builds.

### Carlo Rovelli



In computer science, we try to model agency.





Agent-based modelling: computer simulations used to study the interactions between agents over time

<u>Layers</u>		<u>Modules</u>
		[built-in or external software]
Weather		Meteorology
Water run-off	3/3	Hydrology
Soil quality		Soil nutrients/erosion
Land use		Crop growth Agent decisions
Factor endowment	<b>1</b>	Carry-over of assets
Property rights	A A A	Land markets
Networks	A A	Communication Collective decisions

Berger, T., & Troost, C. (2012). Agent-based modelling in the agricultural economics tradition of recursive farm modelling and adaptive micro-systems.



### Softwares as agents?

**Software agent**: "[something that] receives keystrokes, file contents, and network packets as sensory inputs and acts on the environment by displaying on the screen, writing files, and sending network packets."

Russell, Stuart J, & Norvig, P.. Artificial intelligence a modern approach. Pearson Education, Inc., 2010.

• • •		NERD_tree_1 - (~/Code/sustainhawaii/mapp/maps/data_import) - VIM	
(up a dir)	571	if json:	
<pre><z0 <="" code="" mapp="" maps="" pre="" sustainhawaii=""></z0></pre>	572	keys = json.keys()	
categories/	573	for key in keys:	
core/	574	<pre>if not hasattr(model, request.DATA[key]):</pre>	
<pre>&gt; custom_form/</pre>	575	continue	
<pre>v data_import/</pre>	576	mapping[key] = request.DATA[key]	
initpy	577	return mapping	
admin_view.py	578		
models.py	579	det make_objects(selt, data=None, mapping=None, model=None):	
serializers.py	580	print("in make objects", data, mapping, model)	
tasks.py	581	it not all([data, mapping, model]):	
urts.py	502	chi list = []	
views.py	504	$00J_{\text{LISC}} = []$	
<pre>vala_visualization/ valactore/</pre>	585	$t_{mn} - model()$	
data ison	586	print(item)	
<pre>data.json </pre>	587	if not set(manning keys()) $\leq set(item keys())$	
<pre>&gt; django_mapp/ &gt; fixtures/</pre>	588	nrint("failed keys assumption")	
<pre>&gt; locations/</pre>	589	continue	
<pre>&gt; cocacions/ &gt; ora/</pre>	590	for key in manning keys():	
<pre>resources/</pre>	591	if item[kev]:	
tests/	592	#handle relationships like location type from location	
▶ users/	593	attr = getattr(model, mapping[kev])	
init .pv	594	if isinstance(attr. ReferenceField):	
~	595	#if we have a mongo reference field	
~	596	#lookup reference by name	
~	./a	admin_view.py + 🔟	🛛 78% 🔽 🕅 <b>582:</b> 23
∾	8		
~	9	<pre>class AddDataImport(Mongo.ListCreateAPIView):</pre>	
~	10	serializer_class = DataImportSerializer	
~	11	queryset = DataImport.objects.all()	
~	12		
~	13	<pre>def get_serializer_class(self):</pre>	
~	14	list_type = self.request.QUERY_PARAMS.get('list_type', None)	
~	15	<pre>if list_type == "simple":</pre>	
~	16	return DataImportSimpleSerializer	
~	17	return self.serializer_class	
$\sim$	18		
~	19		
~	20	class updateDataImport(Mongo.ketrieveupdateDestroyAPIview):	
~	21	serializer_class = DataImportserializer	
~	22	queryset = Dataimport.objects.att()	
~	23		
~ ∠/Code/sustainhawaji/mann/mans 🖾	24		
NERDITIES Menu, Use i/k/enter and	the s	bortcuts indicated	
	=====		
<pre>&gt; (a)dd a childnode</pre>			
(m)ove the current node			
(d)elete the current node			
(r)eveal in Finder the current	node		
(o)pen the current node with sy	stem	editor	
(g)uicklook the current node			
(c) only the current node			

https://realpython.com/vim-and-python-a-match-madein-heaven/



### What about chatbots?

https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/



Photo: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ChatGPT#/</u> <u>media/File:ChatGPT.png</u>



In reinforcement learning, we (don't) explain agency by (not) defining other concepts.



# Agent-enrivonment interactions

Agents are distinct from their **environment** (cf. first part)

Agents as systems with **goals** (intrinsic or extrinsic)

Agents take **actions** that maximise **value** (**rewards** over times)







https://www.deepmind.com/blog/scalable-agentarchitecture-for-distributed-training



### https://ai.googleblog.com/2021/02/mastering-atari-withdiscrete-world.html









In control theory, we mix all the previous practices.



# Control theory

\*Optimal\* control theory generalises classical mechanics by introducing goals and actions (but does **agency even exist**?)

Control theory can be used to **model agents** and their actions (decisions, plans, policies)

Same structure as reinforcement learning (environment/exosystem, agent/ plant+controller with goals and actions)



Photograph: Andrew Matthews/PA.



Natarajan, V., & Weiss, G. (2019). Minimal order controllers for output regulation of nonlinear systems. IFAC Journal of Systems and Control, 7, 100028.



In robotics, we build agents but don't worry too much about definitions.



# Adaptation and autonomy

### Same definition as with F to adaptation and autono



Atlas, by Boston Dynamics. <u>https://www.youtube.com/</u> watch?v=-e1\_QhJ1EhQ&t=5s&ab\_channel=BostonDynamics Roomba. t.co.uk/en\_GB/irobot-roomba-j7/ J715840.html



## Agency on a spectrum

No agents: physical laws describe everything in the universe

Agents, maybe?: steering a system towards a goal (physical laws + inputs / parameters)





Physics

Reinforcement learning, Control theory, Computer science

### Agents: systems with adaptation AND autonomy

Agents: systems that take actions for their own sake



### Take-home messages

- In physics, we question whether agency (even) exists \*
- In computer science, we try to model agency \*
- In reinforcement learning, we (don't) explain agency by (not) defining other concepts \*
- In control theory, we mix all the previous practices \*
- In robotics, we build agents but don't worry too much about definitions



# Open questions (part 2)

What are agents?

Are they real or just products of our imagination? And do we need them?

How do we reconcile different understandings of agency / agents (biology, physics, robotics, etc.)?



